REMARKS

An election requirement was made to pending claims 1-17. The claims were placed into the following two groups: Group I (claims 1-12) drawn to a method of making a solid pharmaceutical composition of perindopril or a salt thereof, comprising dry mixing of perindopril or a salt thereof with at least one inorganic carbonate, at least one carrier, and optional other components, and dry processing of the mixture to the desired solid form; and Group II (claims 13-17) drawn to a composition comprising perindopril or salt thereof, microcrystalline cellulose weight and anhydrous lactose.

In response to this restriction/election requirement Applicant hereby elects the claims of Group II (claims 13-17). For the reasons discussed below Applicant makes this election with traverse and respectfully requests that the Examiner reconsider.

The Examiner has restricted the claims of the instant invention into two groups on the basis that under PCT Rule 13.1 the two groups do not relate to a single inventive concept and under PCT Rule 13.2 the two groups lack the same or corresponding special technical feature. The Examiner identifies the technical feature linking Groups I and II as perindopril and at least one carrier. The Examiner then reasons that Eyjolfsson (WO 03/059388) teaches stable formulations of ACE-inhibitor compounds including perindopril comprising a carrier and excipients and that Bergman *et al.* (GB 2394660) teach formulations of ACE-inhibitor compounds including perindopril comprising excipients which include carriers. From this the Examiner concludes that the technical feature linking the inventions of Groups I and II does not constitute a special technical feature as it lacks novelty.

In response, Applicant notes that this case is a 35 U.S.C. § 371 national phase application of PCT/EP2005/003277 (WO 2005/094793). As such, the Application was subject to Examination by the European Patent Office (as the selected International Searching Authority) and an International Search Report (ISR) and International Preliminary Examination Report (IPER) were issued. A complete copy of each of these documents is attached hereto for the Examiner's ease of reference. With reference to the ISR and IPER, Applicant notes that there was no finding by the International Searching Authority of lack of unity and the claims were determined to be both novel and non-obvious over the art cited which includes the art cited by the Examiner in the instant

Appl'n No. 10/599,154 Amendment filed April 28, 2008 Reply to February 26, 2008 Office Action

application.

As noted in the IPER, the present application discloses a pharmaceutical composition of perindopril or a salt thereof, preferably comprising at least one inorganic carbonate, more preferably comprising indapamide and the production thereof by dry mixing. Eyjolfsson (WO 03/059388), which discloses formulations of ACE inhibitors (perindopril) produced with alkali earth metal carbonates to form stable tablets by the method of wet granulation, was determined to be the most relevant reference cited. As noted by the International Searching Authority this reference does not teach or suggest use of a dry technique to obtain pharmaceutical compositions of perindopril.

On this basis Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner reconsider and withdraw the finding of lack of unity in the instant case. If it would be helpful to obtain favorable consideration of this case, the Examiner is encouraged to call and discuss this case with the undersigned.

This constitutes a request for any needed extension of time and an authorization to charge all fees therefor to deposit account No. 19-5117, if not otherwise specifically requested. The undersigned hereby authorizes the charge of any fees created by the filing of this document or any deficiency of fees submitted herewith to deposit account No. 19-5117.

Respectfully submitted,

Date April 28, 2008

/Rosemary Kellogg/ Rosemary Kellogg, #39,726 Swanson & Bratschun, L.L.C. 8210 SouthPark Terrace Littleton, Colorado 80120 Telephone: (303) 268-0066

Facsimile: (303) 268-0065

S:\ClientFolders\Uexkull & Stolberg\UEX09\UEX 09 response to restriction 4-28.doc

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No
PCT/EP2005/003277

		P(CT/EP2005/003277		
A. CLASS IPC 7	ification of subject matter A61K9/20				
According t	to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national cl	assification and IPC			
	S SEARCHED	assincation and IFC			
	ocumentation searched (classification system followed by clas $A61K$	sification symbols)	- 11		
Documenta	ation searched other than minimum documentation to the exten	t that such documents are included	in the fields searched		
Electronic o	data base consulted during the international search (name of d	ata base and, where practical, sea	rch terms used)		
EPO-In	ternal, EMBASE, BIOSIS, WPI Data	, PAJ			
C. DOCUM	ENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT				
Category °	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of	the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.		
X	WO 03/059388 A (DELTA HF; EYJOLFSSON, 1-8, REYNIR) 24 July 2003 (2003-07-24) 13-15 the whole document claims 1-11				
X	GB 2 394 660 A (* NICHE GENER * UNICHEM LABORATORIES LIMITED 5 May 2004 (2004-05-05) the whole document page 18, line 1 - page 23, line 23-62	1-8, 13-15			
Ρ,Χ	WO 2005/011737 A (CIPLA LIMITE AMAR; MALHOTRA, GEENA; WAIN, (PAUL) 10 February 2005 (2005-01) the whole document claims 1-45; examples 1,3,7	CHRISTOPHÉR,	1-8, 13-15		
Furt	her documents are listed in the continuation of box C.	χ Patent family memb	ers are listed in annex.		
Special ca	ategories of cited documents :		d after the international filing date		
	ent defining the general state of the art which is not dered to be of particular relevance		in conflict with the application but principle or theory underlying the		
filing o		"X" document of particular re	elevance; the claimed invention lovel or cannot be considered to		
which	ent which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or is cited to establish the publication date of another n or other special reason (as specified)	involve an inventive ste "Y" document of particular re	involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such docu- ments, such combination being obvious to a person skilled		
'O" docume	not other special reason (as specified) ent referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or means	document is combined			
'P" docume	ent published prior to the international filing date but nan the priority date claimed	in the art.			
Date of the	actual completion of the international search		Date of mailing of the international search report		
1	1 August 2005	05/09/2005	05/09/2005		
Name and r	mailing address of the ISA European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2	Authorized officer	Authorized officer		
	NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl, Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016	Felder, C	Felder, C		

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International Application No PCT/EP2005/003277

	Publication date		Patent family member(s)		Publication date
A	24-07-2003	AU EP WO US	1513555 / 03059388 /	A1 A1	30-07-2003 16-03-2005 24-07-2003 02-06-2005
Α	05-05-2004	NONE			
Α	10-02-2005	GB WO		-	02-02-2005 10-02-2005
	A	A 24-07-2003 A 05-05-2004	A 24-07-2003 AU EP WO US A 05-05-2004 NONE A 10-02-2005 GB	A 24-07-2003 AU 2003235579 EP 1513555 WO 03059388 US 2005118259 A 05-05-2004 NONE A 10-02-2005 GB 2404336	A 24-07-2003 AU 2003235579 A1 EP 1513555 A1 W0 03059388 A1 US 2005118259 A1 A 05-05-2004 NONE A 10-02-2005 GB 2404336 A

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Internatio	6	gent's file reference	FOR FURTHER ACTIO	N See Notifi Preliminar	cation of Transmittal of International y Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)
International application No. PCT/EP2005/003277			International filing date (day/fr 29 03.2005	onth/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 29.03.2004
INV. A6	31K9/2		both national classification and IP	0	
Applicant KRKA,		ARNA ZDRAVIL, D.D.	., NOVO MESTO et al.		
1. Th Au	is inte thority	rnational preliminary exa and is transmitted to th	amination report has been pre ne applicant according to Article	pared by this	International Preliminary Examining
2 Thi	s REF	ORT consists of a total	of 5 sheets, including this cov	er sheet	
	bee	n amended and are the	anied by ANNEXES, i.e. sheet basis for this report and/or shor 607 of the Administrative Ins	ets containir	iption, claims and/or drawings which having rectifications made before this Authoriter the PCT).
The	ese an	nexes consist of a total	of sheets.		,
3. Thi	s repo	rt contains indications re	elating to the following items:		
_			elating to the following items:		
ı	×	Basis of the opinion	elating to the following items:		
_		Basis of the opinion Priority		inventive sta	n and industrial annlicability
J II		Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment of	opinion with regard to novelty	inventive ste	p and industrial applicability
]]]		Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment of Lack of unity of invent Reasoned statement	opinion with regard to novelty,	ard to novelty	
 V		Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment of Lack of unity of invent Reasoned statement	opinion with regard to novelty, tion under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with rega tions supporting such statemer	ard to novelty	
I II IV V VI VII		Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment of Lack of unity of invent Reasoned statement citations and explanat Certain documents cit Certain defects in the	opinion with regard to novelty, tion under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regations supporting such statemented ted international application	ard to novelty	• •
I II III IV V		Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment of Lack of unity of invent Reasoned statement citations and explanat Certain documents cit Certain defects in the	opinion with regard to novelty, tion under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with rega tions supporting such statemented	ard to novelty	• •
I II IV V VI VII		Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment of Lack of unity of invent Reasoned statement citations and explanat Certain documents cit Certain defects in the	opinion with regard to novelty, tion under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regations supporting such statemented international application on the international application	ard to novelty	, inventive step or industrial applicability
I II IV V VI VII	⊠ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □	Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment of Lack of unity of invent Reasoned statement citations and explanat Certain documents cit Certain defects in the Certain observations of	opinion with regard to novelty tion under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regations supporting such statemented international application on the international application	ard to novelty t	, inventive step or industrial applicability
IIIIVVVVIIIVIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII	M	Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment of Lack of unity of invent Reasoned statement citations and explanat Certain documents cit Certain defects in the Certain observations of	opinion with regard to novelty, tion under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to supporting such statemented international application on the international application Date 6	trd to novelty t	, inventive step or industriał applicability

1. With regard to the **elements** of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)):

		De	escription, Pages						
		1-	11	as originally filed					
		Cl	Claims, Numbers						
		1-	17	as originally filed					
``	2	. Wi lan	With regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.						
		Th	These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:						
			the language of a tr	anslation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).					
				olication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).					
			the language of a tr Rule 55.2 and/or 55	anslation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under .3).					
	3	eotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:							
			contained in the inte	ernational application in written form.					
			filed together with th	ne international application in computer readable form.					
		☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.							
			furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.						
			The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.						
			The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.						
	4.	The	e amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:						
			the description,	pages:					
			the claims,	Nos.:					
			the drawings,	sheets:					
	5.		This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).						
			(Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.)						
	6	Addi	dditional observations, if necessary:						

- V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- 1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-17

No: Claims

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

1-17

No: Claims

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-17

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

VI. Certain documents cited

1. Certain published documents (Rule 70.10)

and / or

2. Non-written disclosures (Rule 70.9)

see separate sheet

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Reference is made to the following document:

D1: WO 03/059388 A (DELTA HF; EYJOLFSSON, REYNIR) 24 July 2003 (2003-07-24)

The present application discloses a pharmaceutical composition of perindopril or a salt thereof, preferably comprising at least one inorganic carbonate, more preferably comprising indapamide and the production thereof by dry mixing.

1. Novelty

The present application does meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claims 1-17 is new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

Documents D1 disclose (citations see ISR) formulations of ACE inhibitors (e.g. perindopril) produced with (alkali-) earth metal carbonate to form stable tablets by the method of wet granulation. Therefore, present claims 1-17 are novel over the prior art D1.

2. Inventive step

The present application does meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claims 1-17 does involve an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.

The most relevant document D1 doesn't suggest nor contain any hint to use a dry technique to obtain pharmaceutical composition of perindopril.

Therefore, the present claims 1-17 are inventive in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.

EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

Industrial applicability 3.

Present claims 1-17 are industrial applicable.